## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY SCHALLIOL, Plaintiff,	
v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 01-224
JOHN FARE, JR., et al. Defendants.	
LOUIS SIMON, et al., Plaintiffs,	
v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 01-5671
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendants.	

KATZ, S.J. June , 2002

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

On January 18, 2000 an aircraft crashed, killing all four persons on board, when the air traffic controller in Indiana directed the plane to a runway which had been out of service since September 20, 1995. For over four years, the United States published an approach chart for that runway without any mention that it was no longer in service. The government contends that the discretionary function exemption of the Federal Tort Claims Act requires dismissal of the decedent estates' claims based on this deficiency.

The contention of the United States is without merit. There is no rational connection between publishing inaccurate information and the policy concerns that underlie the discretionary function exemption.<sup>1</sup>

An appropriate Order follows.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The court requested an explanation from the Secretary of Transportation of the need for the discretionary exemption to apply in the circumstances of this case. In response the Department of Justice lawyers defending the government submitted a declaration from the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration with generalization about "... the limitation of finite resources." The declaration provides no explanation why the government should be exempt from liability for using its resources to publish a chart directing aircraft to land on an inactive runway. The issues of negligence are reserved for trial. Whether the air traffic controllers in Indiana or the pilot had alternative information about the inactive runway is insufficiently developed on this record.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY SCHALLIOL, Plainitff,	
v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 01-224
JOHN FARE, JR., et al. Defendants.	
LOUIS SIMON, et al., Plainitffs,	
v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 01-5671
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendants.	
ORDER	
<b>AND NOW,</b> this day of June, 2	2002, upon consideration of United States' Motion
to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	(01-224 doc. no. 79) and the responses thereto, it is
ORDERED that said Motion is DENIED with resp	pect to the complaint alleging negligence against the
United States for publishing the runway approach	chart without any indication that the approach was
inactive. The remaining issues are deferred to trial	where they may be decided in the context of a fuller
record.	
В	Y THE COURT:
$\overline{\mathbf{M}}$	ARVIN KATZ, S.J.